

J5eWvicC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 v.

18 Cr. 530 (LGS)

6 NAZEER VICKERS,

7 Defendant.

Conference

8 -----x
9 New York, N.Y.
10 May 14, 2019
11 4:45 p.m.

12 Before:

13 HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD,

14 District Judge

15 APPEARANCES

16 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
17 United States Attorney for the
18 Southern District of New York
19 BY: ELINOR L. TARLOW
20 Assistant United States Attorney

21 DAVID E. PATTON
22 Federal Defenders of New York, Inc.
23 Attorney for Defendant
24 BY: CHRISTOPHER A. FLOOD
25 Assistant Federal Defender

J5eWvicC

1 (Case called)

2 MS. TARLOW: Good afternoon, your Honor. Elinor
3 Tarlow, for the government.

4 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

5 MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, good afternoon. Federal
6 Defenders of New York, by Christopher Flood, on behalf of
7 Mr. Vickers, who is present at counsel table.

8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Flood.

9 Good afternoon, Mr. Vickers.

10 Everyone may be seated.

11 Ms. Tarlow, could I have a report, please.

12 MS. TARLOW: Yes, your Honor.

13 The government has produced discovery to the defendant
14 in this matter, which consists of advertisements for commercial
15 sex services; extractions of certain phones that were seized as
16 well as the search warrant applications associated with those
17 phones; subpoena returns, among other items.

18 Defense counsel is reviewing that evidence.

19 It's my understanding that we have proposed a briefing
20 schedule for your Honor as well as a conference date.

21 THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

22 Mr. Flood, do you want to tell me a little bit about
23 your anticipated motion?

24 MR. FLOOD: Yes, your Honor. I'm happy to.

25 The principal area of concern, and I'm not saying it's

J5eWvicC

1 exclusive, there's other, I would say, smaller issues, but the
2 main evidence, the main, most damaging phone evidence happens
3 to be associated with two cell phones that were seized from
4 Mr. Vickers pursuant to arrest on, I believe, April 23 of last
5 year. That arrest was for, I believe, turnstile jumping or
6 theft of services, that type of arrest, and it was dismissed
7 the next day. The phones were never returned to Mr. Vickers,
8 so one of the things that the government and I have been
9 discussing is I need the paper trail for that arrest and that
10 property.

11 It then became the subject of a search warrant
12 application here in this courthouse.

13 THE COURT: The phones.

14 MR. FLOOD: The phones, and -- those very same ones.
15 And it's my recollection the warrant application simply says
16 they were seized as part of an arrest. I believe that that's
17 an unlawful seizure of property. The case was dismissed. If
18 it was the 23rd that Mr. Vickers was arrested, the case was
19 dismissed on the morning of the 24th. He made attempts to
20 retrieve that property and was rebuffed by the New York City
21 Police Department.

22 THE COURT: And what's the significance of what was on
23 the phones?

24 MR. FLOOD: There is some incriminating information on
25 those phones. I've had other discussions with the government

J5eWvicC

1 that has been clarifying, and they've been very forthcoming and
2 I appreciate that from Ms. Tarlow, about, for example, there's
3 an allegation, broadly, that two of --

4 THE COURT: An allegation of?

5 MR. FLOOD: Broadly, broadly, that there are two minor
6 victims, minor victim one and two, that resided at a DHS
7 facility in Westchester. I believe that is Hawthorne, the
8 Hawthorne school. There have been a number of cases in this
9 courthouse right now, one of which the government informs me
10 that the jury's deliberating on, having been on trial before
11 Judge Rakoff, that are all associated with sex trafficking out
12 of Hawthorne.

13 My understanding, based on discussions with the
14 government, is that there is not a direct link between
15 Mr. Vickers and Hawthorne. That has been the subject of a
16 tremendous amount of study on our end going through those
17 phones, trying to find if there's any phone number that might
18 cross, but the government relieved us of that just now, and
19 that's very helpful.

20 And then -- I'll just close it off -- the third area
21 of discussion with the government today, the victim, originally
22 victim 1, now coconspirator as to Count One, is an adult who we
23 believe is a woman named Jamisha Jackson. There's broad
24 allegations in the warrant application for the search of the
25 cell phone that Mr. Vickers forced Ms. Jackson to go on a

J5eWvicC

1 multistate -- I don't want to belittle it but a fraud spree
2 involving credit cards.

3 My request to the government is if there is any paper
4 support, any case information, any police reports, essentially,
5 that I would have a need to see that. Certainly if they intend
6 to introduce it at trial, it would be discoverable, but it
7 would be material to our defense, because we believe those
8 allegations are false.

9 And I'm sorry. I misspoke when I said I had -- I have
10 one last thing, which is that in that warrant application and,
11 of course, the bond proceedings in this case, beginning last
12 summer, Ms. Jackson alleged that Mr. Vickers caused her brain
13 damage. I've asked the government for the medical records or
14 any other corroboration of that allegation. We believe that is
15 entirely false, and I credit Ms. Tarlow for seeking that
16 information, but I understand the agents have not been able to
17 obtain it yet, and I don't know -- what I can say is I don't
18 believe either counsel knows whether they do exist at this
19 point.

20 THE COURT: In terms of the motion, what we're talking
21 about is a motion to suppress the evidence from the phones on
22 the ground that the seizure of the phones was unlawful.

23 MR. FLOOD: That's right. That's the principal
24 motion.

25 THE COURT: OK.

J5eWvicC

1 MR. FLOOD: And then there may be some follow-up in
2 limine motions around what I've just spoken about, but I wanted
3 to make sure the Court had that full picture.

4 THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

5 MR. FLOOD: Very good.

6 THE COURT: Anything else we should discuss?

7 MS. TARLOW: Not from the government, your Honor.

8 MR. FLOOD: Nothing from the defense.

9 THE COURT: All right. I'll just put the motion dates
10 on the record.

11 I understand the parties have agreed that motions will
12 be filed July 25; responses August 26; any reply September 24.
13 We'll have a status conference September 10 at 11 a.m., and --
14 sorry, typographical error, October 10 at 11 a.m.

15 MR. FLOOD: October 10?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 And I would use the conference to render an oral
18 ruling on the motions or discuss anything else that needs to be
19 discussed; if nothing, I would cancel it.

20 Is there anything else we should deal with?

21 MR. FLOOD: I only have a question for the procedure.
22 Should the Court determine, and frankly, standing here, I don't
23 know if we're going to be asking for that, but for an
24 evidentiary hearing, would we take up scheduling that in
25 October, or would that be something we'd just seek to do by a

J5eWvicC

1 letter?

2 THE COURT: I won't get your replies until September,
3 so as soon as I figure out if we need an evidentiary hearing,
4 then I'd schedule it. If there were time, I'd schedule it on
5 the same day, but if not -- and it would obviously have to be a
6 day when the witnesses were all available and the lawyers, so
7 it's probably too soon to say.

8 MR. FLOOD: Very good. Thank you.

9 THE COURT: OK.

10 Anything else?

11 MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, we'd move to exclude time
12 until the next conference date in order for defense counsel to
13 continue to review discovery, prepare any motions, and for the
14 parties to engage in any pretrial disposition discussions.

15 THE COURT: All right.

16 MR. FLOOD: No objection.

17 THE COURT: Any objection?

18 MR. FLOOD: No.

19 THE COURT: Hearing no objection, I will exclude the
20 time between now and July 25 to allow the defendant to review
21 discovery, prepare motions and the parties to discuss any
22 disposition. I find that the ends of justice served by
23 excluding the time between now and July 25 outweigh the best
24 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial,
25 under 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A).

J5eWvicC

1 Thank you. We're adjourned.

2 MS. TARLOW: Thank you, your Honor.

3 MR. FLOOD: Thank you, your Honor.

4 (Adjourned)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25